The 22nd May, 1969

No. 2813-A.S.O.II-Lab-59/12127.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the presiding officer. Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s zenith Sewing Machine Company, Faridabad N.I.T.

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, FARIDABAD Reference No. 6 of 1968

between

SHRI ASHOK KUMAR WORKMAN AND SHRI BHAGWANT SINGH SHARMA CONTRACTIOR C/O ZENITH SEWING MACHINES COMPANY, FARIDABAD N.I.T

Present-

Shri Krishan Lal, for the workman.

Nemo for the ma agement.

AWARD

Shri Ashok Kumar was in the service of M/s Bhagwant S ngh Sharma Contractor. His services were dispensed with and this gave rise to an industrial dispute and the President of India in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (l) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, referred the following dispute to this Court for adjudication,—vide Government Gazette Notification No. ID/FD/332A 920, dated 9th January, 1968:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ashok Kumar was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the reference, it was ordered that usual notices be issued to the parties. Service was effected on the workman and his representative duly appeared in response to the notice. However, the service could not be effected on the management and the registered envelops and at the address given in the order of reference was received back with the endorsement that the respondent had left without address. Thereafter as many as eleven opportunities have been given to the workman to file the correct address of the respondent so that service could be effected on him and notices were actually issued as many as three times to the respondent a the address given by the claimant but service could not be effected for want of correct address. Ultimately, the representative of the workman made a statement that he was not in a position to file the carrect address of the respondent. Since the whereabouts of the respondent under whom the claimant was working are not available an I there is no establishment in which the workman can be reinstated, it is not possible to give him any relief. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

P. N. THUKRAU,

Dated 8th May, 1969.

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad.

No. 2166, dated 8th May, 1969

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad.

R. I. N. AHOOJA, Secy.

राजस्व विभाग

दिनांक 29 मई, 1969

कमांक 2138-ई (2)-69/12278.—दण्ड प्रक्रिया सहित 1898 की धारा 12 (1) द्वारा प्रदत की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए हरियाणा के राज्य गल, श्री मुसदी लाल, नायब-तहसीलदार, वसूली, सडौरा नगरपालिका, को मिलस्ट्रेट द्वितीय श्रेगी की शक्तियां सहैं प्रदान करते हैं ताकि वह पंजाब नगरपालिका अधिनियम 1911 के अधीन नगरगालिका सढौरा की सीमाओं के भीतर वसूली की कार्यवाही कर सके।

एल० ईसा० दास, उप-सचिव

t c c

tl W C